# The impact of the environmental hazard, acrylamide, on the male reproductive tract and transgenerational phenotype

Caitlin Gai Chambers, BSc (Hons)

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Biological Sciences

This research was supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program (RTP) Scholarship

# **Table of Contents**

Declaration	vii
Acknowledgements	viii
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xiv
List of Abbreviations	xv
General	xv
Multigenerational treatment groups	xix
Units	xx
Prefixes	xx
Abstract	xxi
Chapter 1. Literature Review	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Environment and health	3
1.2.1. Physical factors affecting human health	4
1.2.2. Biological factors affecting human health	4
1.2.3. Chemical factors affecting human health	5
1.2.3.1. Air	5
1.2.3.2. Water	6
1.2.3.3. Soil	6

1	1.2.3.4. Food	7
1.3.	Transgenerational transmission	8
1.4.	Acrylamide	16
1.4	1.1. Acrylamide reactivity and toxicity	17
1.5.	Acrylamide genotoxicity and reproductive toxicity	18
1.5	5.1. In vivo acrylamide exposure	21
1.6.	CYP2E1	25
1.6	S.1. Metabolism of acrylamide	26
1.7.	CYP2E1 Induction	27
1.7	7.1. Male reproductive tract	30
1.8.	Concluding remarks	31
1.9.	Hypothesis and aims	33
Chapt	ter 2. Materials and Methods	35
2.1.	Buffers and Reagents	35
2.2.	Animals	35
2.3.	In vivo experimental design	36
2.3	3.1. Acute xenobiotic administration	36
2	2.3.1.1. Acrylamide	36
2	2.3.1.2. Ethanol	37
2.3	3.2. Multigenerational acrylamide exposure	38
2.4.	Tissue fixation and embedding	43

2.5	. Cell	Cult	ure	44
2	2.5.1.	mE	Cap18 cells	44
2.6	i. Ge	ene E	Expression Analysis	44
2	2.6.1.	RN	A Extraction	44
	2.6.1	1.1.	Extraction Procedure	45
	2.6.1	1.2.	DNase Treatment of RNA	45
	2.6.1	1.3.	Determination of Nucleic Acid Concentration	46
2	2.6.2.	Pol	lymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	46
	2.6.2	2.1.	Reverse Transcription (RT)	46
	2.6.2	2.2.	PCR	47
	2.6.2	2.3.	Real-Time PCR	47
2.7	'. Pr	oteir	n Analysis	48
2	2.7.1.	Pro	tein extraction and quantification	48
	2.7.1	1.1.	SDS extraction	48
	2.7.1	1.2.	Microsome and mitochondria extraction and purification	49
	2.7.1	1.3.	Protein Quantification	50
2	2.7.2.	lmr	munoblotting	50
	2.7.2	2.1.	SDS-PAGE, Western Transfer and immunoblotting	50
2	2.7.3.	lmr	munofluorescence	52
	2.7.3	3.1.	Immortalised cell	52
	273	3 2	Tissue section	54

2	2.7.3.3. Fluorescence quantification	55
2.8.	DNA damage Analysis	55
2.8	3.1. Somatic/Immortalised cell	55
2.8	3.2. Spermatozoa	56
2.8	3.3. Quantification	57
2	2.8.3.1. Multigenerational acrylamide exposure	58
2.9.	Statistics	58
Chapt	ter 3. Quantification of CYP2E1	59
3.1.	Background	59
3.2.	Aims	64
3.3.	Results	65
CY	P2E1 Immunoblot Optimisation	65
3.3	3.1. Optimisation of mitochondria and microsome extraction	69
3.4.	Discussion	77
Chapt	ter 4. Modulating CYP2E1 expression in the male reproductiv	'e
tract		32
4.1.	Background	82
4.2.	Aims	85
4.3.	Results	86
4.3	3.1. Acrylamide	86
4 3	2 Ethanol	96

4.4.	Discussion	104
Chapt	ter 5: Heritable sensitivity to acrylamide1	113
5.1.	Background	113
5.2.	Aims	115
5.3.	Results	116
5.3	3.1. DNA Fragmentation	118
5.3	3.2. CYP2E1	129
5.4.	Discussion	133
5.4	.1 Transgenerational reproductive phenotype	133
5.4	.2 CYP2E1 alterations and DNA fragmentation following single generation	on
exp	posure	134
5.4	.3 Multi- and transgenerational sperm DNA fragmentation	135
5.4	.4 Multi- and transgenerational regulation of CYP2E1 abundance	139
Chapt	ter 6. Final Discussion1	142
6.1.	Overview	142
6.2.	CYP2E1 Induction	144
6.2	2.1. Potential technologies to assess CYP2E1 induction pathways	147
6.3.	Multigenerational outcomes of acrylamide exposure	150
6.3	3.1 Adaptive response to parental environmental insult	153
6.4.	Mechanism of transgenerational transmission	155
6 4	1 Chromatin Modifications	155

6.4.1.1. DNA	156
6.4.1.2. Proteins	157
6.4.1.3. Assessing chromatin modifications	157
6.4.2. Small RNAs	158
6.5. Concluding remarks	160
References	162
Appendices	206
Appendix A	206
A.1. Buffers and Stock Solutions	206
Appendix B	211
B.1. Primer sequences	211
Appendix C	212
C.1. Immunofluorescence Controls	212
C.1.1. Immortalised cell	212
C.1.2. Tissue section	212
Appendix D	213
Annendix F	215

#### **Declaration**

I hereby certify that the work embodied in the thesis is my own work, conducted under normal supervision. The thesis contains no material which has been accepted, or is being examined, for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made. I give consent to the final version of my thesis being made available worldwide when deposited in the University's Digital Repository, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968 and any approved embargo.

Caitlin Chambers

#### **Acknowledgements**

First, I must acknowledge the work and dedication of my supervisor Dr Shaun Roman. Your encouragement, honesty and openness have been invaluable in the progression of my PhD. The knowledge and skills you have imparted on me during my time as your student have helped me to grow, and I'm sure will continue to aid me throughout my career. We both know that getting to this stage hasn't been easy, and thanks to your genuine excitement during our many planning and replanning sessions, office conversations and hallway chats I was able to pull through and achieve something few others do. I am very grateful to have had the opportunity to explore, question and discover under your supervision and guidance. To my supervisor, Prof Brett Nixon, thank you for all your advice and support throughout my studies. Your encouragement and insight into each aspect of this project have been vital to my development as a PhD student. I would like to acknowledge and thank every member of the UoN Reproductive Science group. You have all given me assistance and encouragement in some way or another throughout my studies, and without each of you this wouldn't have been possible.

Thank you to all my fellow PhD students, for the support and comradery that we share. I must give particular thanks to the wonderful women—Shenae, Natalie, Jess, Jacinta, Emma, Alex, Roisin, Sarah, Hannah and Emily—that have given me the greatest support system I could ask for. Each of you has helped me to celebrate the good times, have been a shoulder to lean on during the bad times, and have made every moment in the laboratory, good or bad, the most fun I could have. You are truly incredible, and I hope to support you all as much as you have me. Thank you to Aimee, for being there when I first started in the group, and always giving me your guidance, and support. I'm proud to have shared those first few years with you.

To all of my friends and family that have watched me push myself through these last few years, thank you. You helped me come out of my head every once in a while, and for that, I am so grateful. Thank you for your kindness and encouragement.

To my wonderful grandmother Shirley, Mumma, you have been the greatest supporter of me and my dreams. I can't thank you enough for your kindness and love, especially in this last year. We have had so much going on, but every moment you thought of me and my thesis writing, and you have been a wonderful fount of strength. To Grandad, thank you for inspiring a twelve-year-old girl to go for it, and dream of achieving a PhD. Even though you never got to see me attend the beautiful University you helped build, you were with me every step of the way, and it was that dream that helped me to push on through every tough moment and complete this thesis.

Thank you to my mother, Debby. You have supported me in every way possible, throughout my life, so that I could even attempt this incredible journey. Through it all, you have been incredible. You pushed me when I needed to be pushed, you were there for me when I needed comfort and even though you have no idea what I'm saying you listen to me every time I talk about my science, and you always try to help in any way you can. I will never be able to express my gratitude and appreciation fully, but I hope you know how much your love and encouragement has meant to me.

Finally, to Luke. Without your love and support, I could never have made it through this weird and wonderful adventure. Thank you for encouraging me, supporting me, and most importantly making me laugh on days when all I wanted to do was cry. Your admiration of me and my work has never stopped making me feel special, worthy and privileged to be where I am. For all that you are and all that you have done for me you have my utmost love and appreciation.

## **List of Figures**

Figure 2.1. Acute acrylamide and ethanol exposure regimen	36
Figure 2.2. Multigenerational exposure paradigm.	39
Figure 3.1. Expression of Cyp2e1 in tissues of Mus musculus CD-1 strain	60
Figure 3.2. CYP2E1 is present in the liver and the male reproductive tract	66
Figure 3.3. CYP2E1 is present in the mouse reproductive tract	68
Figure 3.4. Protocol for purification of mitochondria. All stages were completed	l on ice
or at 4°C	70
Figure 3.5. Purification of mitochondria.	71
Figure 3.6. Secondary protocol for purification of mitochondria.	72
Figure 3.7. Purification of mitochondrial protein from liver	73
Figure 3.8. Liver microsome and mitochondria purification.	74
Figure 3.9. Liver microsome and mitochondria purification.	75
Figure 3.10. Altering the number of homogenisation strokes affects liver micros	some
and mitochondria purification.	76
Figure 3.11. The ratio of CYP2E1 detected in microsomes and mitochondria p	urified
from each respective sample.	77
Figure 4.1. Acute acrylamide exposure leads to DNA damage in spermatozoa	in
vivo	87
Figure 4.2. Cyp2e1 gene expression is not altered by acrylamide exposure in	<i>vivo</i> .89
Figure 4.3. Acute acrylamide exposure results in increased levels of CYP2E1	protein
in the spermatocytes of male mice	91
Figure 4.4. CYP2E1 is present in the cytoplasm of mECap18 cells	93
Figure 4.5. Acrylamide exposure does not affect mECap18 cell number	93

Figure 4.6. In vitro acrylamide exposure does not change <i>Cyp2e1</i> gene expression
in mECap18 cells93
Figure 4.7. CYP2E1 is dose-dependently elevated in mECap18 cells following
acrylamide exposure95
Figure 4.8. DNA damage is elevated in mECap18 cells following acrylamide
exposure96
Figure 4.9. Acute ethanol exposure does not lead to DNA damage in spermatozoa in
vivo97
Figure 4.10. Cyp2e1 gene expression in testis is not altered by ethanol exposure in
<i>vivo</i>
Figure 4.11. Acute ethanol exposure results in increased levels of CYP2E1 protein in
the spermatocytes of male mice
Figure 4.12. Ethanol at 343 mM reduced mECap18 cell number
Figure 4.13. In vitro ethanol exposure leads to an increase in Cyp2e1 gene
expression in mECap18 cells102
Figure 4.14. CYP2E1 is dose-dependently elevated in mECap18 cells following
ethanol exposure
Figure 4.15. DNA damage is elevated in mECap18 cells following ethanol exposure.
Figure 5.1. Grand-paternal acrylamide exposure results in decreased testis to body
weight ratio117
Figure 5.2. Paternal acrylamide exposure elevated DNA fragmentation in
spermatozoa of unexposed progeny (EO), while grand-paternal acrylamide exposure
results in increased DNA fragmentation in spermatozoa irrespective of subsequent
exposure119

Figure 5.3. Paternal DNA fragmentation in spermatozoa correlated with DNA	
fragmentation in progeny following acrylamide exposure of the F1 generation	122
Figure 5.4. Paternal (F1) DNA fragmentation in spermatozoa correlated with DNA	<b>\</b>
fragmentation in progeny (F2) in the absence of acrylamide exposure of the F1	
generation	124
Figure 5.5. Grand-paternal (F0) DNA fragmentation in spermatozoa negatively	
correlated with DNA fragmentation in progeny in the absence of acrylamide	
exposure of any generation	127
Figure 5.6. Chronic acrylamide exposure results in increased levels of CYP2E1	
protein in the spermatocytes of male F0 mice	129
Figure 5.7. Chronic acrylamide exposure results in increased levels of CYP2E1	
protein in the spermatocytes of male F1 mice, following paternal acrylamide	
exposure, but exposure decreased CYP2E1 in offspring of control males	130
Figure 5.8. Multigenerational exposure to acrylamide altered CYP2E1 protein	
abundance in the spermatocytes of male F2 mice	132
Figure 5.9. Paternal and grand-paternal acrylamide exposure results in multi- and	t
transgenerational reproductive phenotypes in progeny	141
Figure C.1. Goat anti-rabbit fluorescent conjugated secondary antibody (Alexa flu	or
488) does not non-specifically bind on mECap18 cells	212
Figure C.2. Goat anti-rabbit fluorescent conjugated secondary antibody (Alexa flu	or
594) does not non-specifically bind on testis sections	212
Figure D.1. Morphological analysis of reproductive factors after acrylamide and	
ethanol treatment	213
Figure D.2. Testis morphology is grossly unaffected by acrylamide and ethanol	
treatment in male mice	214

Figure E.1. Acrylamide exposure does not alter reproductive outcomes in any	
generationgeneration	223

# **List of Tables**

Table 1.1. Multi- and transgenerational phenotypes following parental exposure to
environmental insult10
Table 1.2. Alteration of CYP2E1 expression and abundance in body tissues following
substrate exposure
Table 2.1. Summary of total animal numbers, average weight and water
consumption in each generation and treatment group
Table 2.2. Immunoblotting conditions for detecting CYP2E1, SDHA, SERCA 1/2/3
and GAPDH on nitrocellulose membranes52
Table 5.1. Paternal DNA fragmentation in spermatozoa correlated with DNA
fragmentation in progeny following acrylamide exposure of the F1 generation 123
Table 5.2. Paternal (F1) DNA fragmentation in spermatozoa correlated with DNA
fragmentation in progeny (F2) in the absence of acrylamide exposure of the F1
generation125
Table 5.3. Grand-paternal (F0) DNA fragmentation in spermatozoa negatively
correlated with DNA fragmentation in progeny in the absence of acrylamide
exposure in any generation128
Table 6.1. Adaptive response in offspring following parental environmental insult. 154
Table 6.2. RNA altered by paternal insult induces altered phenotype in offspring. 159
Table A.1. Buffers and stock solutions
Table B.1. PCR primer sequences211
Table E.1. Mean weight, water consumption and daily acrylamide intake for each
mouse
Table E.2. Summary of Tail DNA percentage intensity for each unexposed (O) and
exposed (E) linage224

#### **List of Abbreviations**

#### <u>General</u>

5mc 5-methylcytosine

8OHdG 8-oxo-2'-deoxyguanosine

APAP acetaminophen

B2M β2 microglobulin

BEP bleomycin, etoposide, cisplatin

BMI body mass index

BPA bisphenol A

BSA (solutions) bovine serum albumin

BSA body surface area

bw bodyweight

cAMP cyclic adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate

CD control diet

cGMP cyclic guanosine monophosphate

ChIP chromatin immunoprecipitation

CTCF corrected total cell fluorescence

CYP cytochrome P450

DAPI 4'-6-diamidino-2-phenylindole

DBP dibutyl phthalate

DEET N,N-Diethylmeta-toluamide

DEHP bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate

DEPC diethylpyrocarbonate

DHT  $5\alpha$ -Androstan-17 $\beta$ -ol-3-one

DMEM Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium

DNA deoxyribonucleic acid

dNTP deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate

DTT dithiothreitol

ECL enhanced chemiluminescence

EDTA Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid

EM EDTA/MOPS

ENU ethylnitrosourea

ER endoplasmic reticulum

ESTR expanded simple tandem repeat

F0 parental

F1 first filial

F2 second filial

FBS fetal bovine serum

GAPDH glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase

GPX glutathione peroxidase

GusB glucuronidase β

H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> hydrogen peroxide

HED human equivalent dose

HFD high-fat diet

HMF 5-hydroxymethylfurfural

HPLC-UV high-performance liquid chromatography-ultraviolet

HRP horse radish peroxidase

I.P. intraperitoneal

IgG immunoglobulin G

JP8 jet propellant 8

LC liquid chromatography

LIS lithium diiododsalicyclate

mECap18 SV40-immortalised mouse caput epididymal epithelial cell line 18

mi microsomal

Milli-Q® H<sub>2</sub>O Milli-Q® filtered water

miRNA micro-RNA

MOPS 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid

mRNA messenger RNA

MS mass spectrometry

MS/MS tandem mass spectrometry

MSG monosodium glutamate

mt mitochondrial

N3-GA-Ade N3-(2-carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl) adenine adduct

N7-GA-Gua N7-(2-carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl) guanine adduct

NOAEL no-observed-adverse-effect-level

nt nucleotide

PBS phosphate-buffered saline

PBST phosphate-buffered saline supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20

PCBs polychlorinated biphenyls

PCR polymerase chain reaction

piRNA piwi-interacting RNA

pMAPK phosphorylated mitogen-activated protein kinase

PND postnatal day

RNA ribonucleic acid

ROS reactive oxygen species

RPE retinal pigment epithelium

RT reverse transcription

SDHA succinate dehydrogenase complex, subunit A

SDS sodium dodecyl sulphate

SDS-PAGE sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

SE standard error

sec second(s)

SEM sucrose/EDTA/MOPS

SERCA sarco/endoplasmic reticulum

SGA small for gestational age

siRNA small-interfering RNA

sncRNA small non-protein coding RNA

TAE tris/acetate/EDTA

TBE tris/borate/EDTA

TBHP *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide

TBS tris-buffered saline

TBST tris-buffered saline supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20

TCDD 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin

TPA 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate

tRF transfer RNA derived fragments

TUNEL terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling

UTR untranslated region

WHO World Health Organisation

WT wild-type

#### Multigenerational treatment groups

O unexposed F0

E exposed F0

OO unexposed F1 progeny of unexposed lineage

OE exposed F1 progeny of unexposed lineage

EO unexposed F1 progeny of exposed lineage

EE exposed F1 progeny of exposed lineage

OOO unexposed F2 progeny of unexposed lineage

EOO unexposed F2 progeny of unexposed F1 from exposed lineage

EOE exposed F2 progeny of unexposed F1 from exposed lineage

EEO unexposed F2 progeny of exposed F1 from exposed lineage

EEE exposed F2 progeny of exposed F1 from exposed lineage

# <u>Units</u>

°C degrees Celsius

A amps

Da dalton

g (centrifugation) gravity

g (weight) grams

h hour(s)

L litre

M molar

m metre

min minute(s)

sec second(s)

U units (enzymatic activity)

V volts

wk week(s)

## **Prefixes**

k kilo  $10^3$ 

c centi 10<sup>-2</sup>

m milli 10<sup>-3</sup>

 $\mu$  micro  $10^{-6}$ 

n nano 10<sup>-9</sup>

#### **Abstract**

The impact of exposure to environmental hazards on personal health and the health of our offspring has become of great importance over recent decades. The effect of gestational exposures across generations have been widely investigated, but what is less well understood is the impact of paternal preconception exposure. The research presented utilised the environmental hazard, acrylamide as a model to facilitate a greater understanding of paternal preconception exposure, and the multi- and transgenerational consequences. Throughout this research, the effect of acrylamide on the male reproductive tract was investigated, following single and multigenerational exposures, and the importance of the enzyme CYP2E1, known to modulate acrylamide-toxicity. CYP2E1 is a P450 metabolising enzyme, localised to the endoplasmic reticulum and/or the mitochondria throughout various tissues of the body, including in the pachytene spermatocytes of the testis and epithelial cells of the epididymis within the male reproductive tract. It was hypothesised that acrylamide would alter CYP2E1 protein abundance and DNA fragmentation in the male reproductive tract, and multigenerational exposure of the male germline would result in altered phenotypes in progeny.

An *in vivo* acute exposure model and *in vitro* cell culture were utilised to establish the effect of acrylamide on CYP2E1 in the male reproductive tract. Prior to the examination of acrylamide exposures, we performed immunoblotting analysis of CYP2E1 and optimisation of subcellular fractionation techniques to isolate and purify the components of the cell that harbour CYP2E1. Mitochondrial fractions from liver tissue were extracted and purified, while microsomal fractions from the endoplasmic reticulum require further optimisation, and thus this technique was not utilised for further analyses.

From the *in* vivo and *in vitro* exposure regimes it was determined that acrylamide increased the abundance of CYP2E1 in the spermatocytes of the testis (150% of vehicle) and mECap18 cells (130% of vehicle) and elevated DNA fragmentation in both the mECap18 cells (120% of vehicle) and mature spermatozoa (≥120% of vehicle). To postulate potential mechanisms of this induction comparison to the well-characterised CYP2E1 substrate ethanol was performed. Ethanol exposure also elevated CYP2E1 abundance in spermatocytes (130% of vehicle) and the mECap18 cells (150% of vehicle), in addition to *Cyp2e1* transcript expression in the mECap18 cells only (≤500% of vehicle).

To model environmental multigenerational exposure, a chronic regime of acrylamide exposure at a human-relevant dose following the paternal germline was employed. Paternal and grand-paternal acrylamide exposure modified the response to acrylamide in male offspring with significantly altered DNA fragmentation in mature spermatozoa and CYP2E1 abundance in spermatocytes with or without acrylamide exposure of the progeny. Additionally, acrylamide exposure at the human-relevant dose of ≈0.2 mg/kg bw/day resulted in the transgenerational phenotype of decreased testis to body weight ratio in the male F2 progeny following ancestral exposure to acrylamide (75-80% of unexposed lineage).

The experiments outlined herein demonstrate novel understanding of acrylamide and its effects on the male reproductive tract, and the impact of preconception exposure to the reproductive health of multiple generations. These data provide new insight into the transgenerational impact of an environmental hazard at under a human-relevant regime, following paternal preconception exposure, to expand our understanding of environmental health.